

Humanities

Igor M. Chubarov

Graduated from Lomonosov Moscow State University in 1996, Faculty of Philosophy. Candidate of Sciences degree, 1999. Senior Fellow of the Institute of Philosophy of the Russian Academy of Sciences. Lecturer in the Faculty of Philosophy of the MSU. Editor in the publishing programme of the Russian State University for the Humanities. Member of the editorial board, Logos journal.

CONTENTS

FOREWORD

INTRODUCTION. COLLECTIVE SENSUALITY AND THE IDEA OF RUSSIA'S LEFT AVANT-GARDE

I. VIOLENCE AS AN ORIGIN

UNESTABLISHED THEORIES OF MIMESIS
(B. BENJAMIN, T. ADORNO, R. GIRARD, J. DELEZ, V. PODOROGA)

ART'S IMMANENT POLITIC

EXAMPLE: LEV TOLSTOY AND FYODOR DOSTOEVSKY ON THE 'GREEN STREET'

DIGRESSION 1. ANDREI BELY'S 'TRANSCENDENTAL AFFECTOLOGY'

II. CENTRAL CONCEPTS OF NONFIGURATIVE ART AND COMFUTURISM

BETWEEN NONSENSE AND THE ABSURD: THE STATUS OF FUTURISM AND NONFIGURATIVE ART IN AESTHETIC THEORIES OF THE 1920S (V. SHKLOVSKY, L. VYGOTSKY, V. KANDINSKY, G. SHPET AND GAKHN)

LIBERATION VERSUS OBJECTIFIED CONSCIOUSNESS. THE INTERACTION BETWEEN 'ALIENATION' (VERFREMDUNG) AND 'ESTRANGEMENT' (ENTFREMDUNG) IN RUSSIAN AVANT-GARDE

DIGRESSION 2. 'THEATRICALIZING LIFE' AS A STRATEGY TO POLITICIZE ART.
N. N. EVREINOV

III. AN ANALYSIS OF THE CONCEPTS OF PRODUCTION ART AND THE LITERATURE OF FACT. LEF

PRODUCTIONISM AND CONSTRUCTIVISM: THE ART OF REVOLUTION OR A DESIGN FOR THE PROLETARIAT?

THE FORMATION OF MARXIST AESTHETICS IN THE 1920S. BORIS ARVATOV

POSITIONS OF PRODUCTIONISM IN THE CONTEXT OF A POLEMIC IN PROLETARIAN LITERATURE AND ART OF THE 1920S

DIGRESSION 3. DZIGA VERTOV: FILM AS A 'COMMUNIST BREAKDOWN OF REALITY'

DIGRESSION 4. ANDREI PLATONOV'S 'LITERARY MACHINES'

IV. THE PHILOSOPHY OF ART AND ACADEMIC ART STUDIES IN THE 1920S

STRATEGIES OF ACADEMIC ART STUDIES AT THE GAKHN. LOSS OF THE VISIBLE AND VISIBILITY OF THE LOST.

THE 'ART OF THE PORTRAIT' IN THE ABSENCE OF THE PORTRAIT ITSELF

DIGRESSION 5. SELF-MEANINGFULNESS AND HETERO-MEANINGFULNESS OF PRODUCTIVIST ART IN THE ISOLATED AESTHETIC POLEMIC OF THE 1920S: PAVEL POPOV AND RICHARD GAMAN

INSTEAD OF A CONCLUSION. THE AUTHOR AS PRODUCER AND THE REVOLUTION

LITERATURE